UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/735,697	12/16/2003	Sung-Jae Cho	P56999 3543			
Robert E. Bush	7590 02/21/2007	EXAMINER				
Suite 300			THOMPSON, MELISSA			
1522 K Street, Washington, D			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		•	1745			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
31 DAYS		02/21/2007	РАР	PAPED		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	—— <i>P</i>			
Office Action Summary		10/735,69	7	CHO, SUNG-JAE				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Melissa B.	Thompson	1745				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	ss			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no even ion. period will apply and wing statute, cause the apply	IIS COMMUNICATION Int, however, may a reply be tire I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this community (SD (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	09 December 2	004.					
2a)□								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election red	uirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o	correction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1	I.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have bee	n received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•		ed in this National Sta	ige			
	application from the International E	•	* **					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certi	led copies not receive	∋ d.				
Attachmen	t(s)	•						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application				

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: the lead plate adapted to be pressed into an aperture of the cap plate and the lead plate adapted to be pressed into at least one cavity of the can. The species are independent or distinct because cause the various locations are mutually exclusive and not obvious over one another.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. There are no generic claims in this application.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was made to Robert Bushnell on February 8, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 3

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa B. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2758. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8am to 5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,697

Art Unit: 1745

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Trainer, Susy Tsang-Foster can be reached on (571) 272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MBT

Ausy Leng Lester
SUSYTSANG-FOSTER
DRIMARY EXAMINER